Contrasting Effects of Human, Canine, and Hybrid Adenovirus Vectors on the Phenotypical and Functional Maturation of Human Dendritic Cells: Implications for Clinical Efficacy
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Antipathogen immune responses create a balance between immunity, tolerance, and immune evasion. However, during gene therapy most viral vectors are delivered in substantial doses and are incapable of expressing gene products that reduce the host’s ability to detect transduced cells. Gene transfer efficacy is also modified by the in vivo transduction of dendritic cells (DC), which notably increases the immunogenicity of virions and vector-encoded genes. In this study, we evaluated parameters that are relevant to the use of canine adenovirus serotype 2 (CAV-2) vectors in the clinical setting by assaying their effect on human monocyte-derived DC (hMoDC). We compared CAV-2 to human adenovirus (HAd) vectors containing the wild-type virion, functional deletions in the penton base RGD motif, and the CAV-2 fiber knob. In contrast to the HAd type 5 (HAd5)-based vectors, CAV-2 poorly transduced hMoDC, provoked minimal upregulation of major histocompatibility complex class I/II and costimulatory molecules (CD40, CD80, and CD86), and induced negligible morphological changes indicative of DC maturation. Functional maturation assay results (e.g., reduced antigen uptake; tumor necrosis factor alpha, interleukin-1β [IL-1β], gamma interferon [IFN-γ], IL-10, IL-12, and IFN-α/β secretion; and stimulation of heterologous T-cell proliferation) were also significantly lower for CAV-2. Our data suggested that this was due, in part, to the use of an alternative receptor and a block in vesicular escape. Additionally, HAd5 vector-induced hMoDC maturation was independent of the aforementioned cytokines. Paradoxically, an HAd5/CAV-2 hybrid vector induced the greatest phenotypical and functional maturation of hMoDC. Our data suggest that CAV-2 and the HAd5/CAV-2 vector may be the antithesis of Adenoviridae immunogenicity and that each may have specific clinical advantages.
tems (CNS) of several species, CAV-2 vectors preferentially transduce neurons and lead to an efficient level of axoplasmic transport (73). Helper-dependent (HD) CAV-2 vectors also lead to long-term transgene expression in the CNS (74) and respiratory tracts (38) of immunocompetent rodents without immunosuppression. Our data and those from studies using HD human adenovirus (HAd) vectors (3) suggest that HD CAV-2 vectors could be used for the long-term treatment of some global neurodegenerative disorders (40, 54). Because Ad-induced morbidity is relatively species specific, vectors derived from nonhuman *Adenoviridae* might be more clinically relevant, based in part on the potential lack of memory immunity (8, 55-57), than those derived from HAds.

Several groups have studied viral vector-DC interaction. Most of these reports can be divided roughly into those that study genetic modification of DC to induce tumor- or pathogen-specific cellular responses and those that assay the interaction of DC with viral vectors to predict, understand, and limit the potential immune response following in vivo gene transfer. Here we compared CAV-2 vector transduction and effects on the phenotype and function of hMoDC to those of HAd and adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors. In contrast to Ad-induced morbidities, both group A and C adenovirus vectors containing the same EGFP, DsRed, and Luciferase or DsRed expression cassettes.

**TABLE 1. Vectors and viruses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus or vector</th>
<th>Serotype</th>
<th>Deletion (Δ) and/or substitution(s)</th>
<th>Transgene</th>
<th>Postpurification modification</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAVGFP</td>
<td>CAV-2</td>
<td>ΔE1</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAVβgal</td>
<td>CAV-2</td>
<td>ΔE1</td>
<td>lacZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAVDsRed</td>
<td>CAV-2</td>
<td>ΔE1</td>
<td>DsRed2</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAV-Cy3</td>
<td>CAV-2</td>
<td>ΔE1/3</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td>Cy3 label</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdGFp</td>
<td>HAd5</td>
<td>ΔE1/3</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdSLuc1</td>
<td>HAd5</td>
<td>ΔE1/3</td>
<td>Luciferase</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAd5wt</td>
<td>HAd5</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adβgal</td>
<td>HAd5</td>
<td>ΔE1/3</td>
<td>lacZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad-488</td>
<td>HAd5</td>
<td>ΔE1/3</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td>Alexa-488 label</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad5Luc1-CK</td>
<td>HAd5</td>
<td>ΔE1/3, HAd5 fiber knob replaced by CAV-2 knob</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdΔRGDGFP</td>
<td>HAd5</td>
<td>ΔE1/3, penton base-deleted RGD motif</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdΔRGD</td>
<td>HAd2</td>
<td>RGD to RGE mutation in penton base</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAV1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Δ viral coding regions</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAV2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Δ viral coding regions</td>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Donor cells and culture conditions.** hMoDC were isolated (from >40 donors), purified, differentiated, characterized, and cultured as previously described (57). The purity of the selected populations was >95% as assayed by flow cytometry with human anti-CD11c and anti-CD14 antibodies. All hMoDC stimulations were performed at 7 days postisolation. Differentiated hMoDC were cultured in complete medium (RPMI 1640 medium [Invitrogen, Auckland, New Zealand] supplemented with 10% [vol/vol] fetal calf serum [FCS] [BioWest], 20 ng/ml interleukin-4 [IL-4], and 50 ng/ml granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor [Sigma-Aldrich, France]).

**Antibodies.** Anti-HLA-DR antibody (Ab) (immu-357; Immunotech, France); anti-CD11c allophyocyanin-conjugated (B-Ly6) and anti-CD14 fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated (M5E2) Abs (Miltenyi Biotec); anti-HLA-DR, -B, and -C FITC-conjugated (G46-2.6), anti-CD40 (5C3), anti-CD80 (L307.4), anti-CD83 (HB15e), anti-CD86 (2331 FUN-1), anti-HLA-DR (G86-6), anti-CCR7 (3D12) phycoerythrin-conjugated Abs (BD Pharmingen); and anti-Ad5Hex (AB1056) and anti-Rad5 Abs (Sigma) were obtained from the indicated sources. Anti-LAMP-2 (H84) was obtained from K Jensen at the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (University of Iowa). Polyclonal rabbit anti-CAV-2 antibodies were produced in house by injecting New Zealand White rabbits with CAVGFP. Anti-CAV-2 antibodies were affinity purified using CAVGFP virions covalently linked to a CNBr-activated Sepharose column.

**Vectors and viruses.** CAVβgal, CAVDsRed, CAVGFP, Adβgal, AdRGFP, and AdGFP have been described previously (39–41). Briefly, AdGFP, AdRFP, Ad5Luc1 (26), and Adβgal are E1/E3-deleted HAd5 vectors and contain an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP), lucZ, luciferase or DsRed expression cassette. CAVGFP, CAVDsRed, and CAVβgal are E1-deleted CAV-2 vectors containing the same EGFP, DsRed2, and lucZ expression cassettes. Ad5Luc1-CK (26) is an HAd5 vector expressing luciferase and containing the HAd5 fiber shaft and the CAV-2 fiber knob. AdΔRGD is an HAd5 vector containing a deletion of the RGD motif and containing an EGFP expression cassette in the E1 region (67). Ad-488 is AdGFP labeled with Alexa-488, and CAV-Cy3 is CAVGFP labeled with Cy3 (10). HAd5wt is the wild-type human Ad serotype 5 purchased from ATCC. The vectors and viruses used in this study are described in Table 1.
approximately 30:1), respectively. Each multiplicity of infection is given in pp/cell.

**Transduction assays.** Immature hMoDC (1 × 10^5 cells) were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in 1 ml of complete medium containing 10^5, 10^4, 10^3, 2.5 × 10^3, or 5 × 10^2 vector pp/cell. hMoDC were incubated for 24 h (or for 48 to 72 h [not shown]) at 37°C and then analyzed by flow cytometry using a FACSCalibur. We performed data analysis using CellQuest software. The level of hMoDC transduction is reported as the percentage of GFP-positive or red fluorescent protein (RFP)-positive cells. The transduction efficacy of AdLuc1-CK versus AdLuc1 was determined using standard luminometric readouts. Transduction assays were performed at least in triplicate.

**Expression of constitutulatory molecules.** hMoDC (1 × 10^5 cells) were incubated in 1 ml of complete medium with 2.5 × 10^3 pp/cell of Ad5RGD, Ad5Luc1-CK, CAVGagl, or AdGagl: 2.5 × 10^3 pp/cell of AAV1 or AAV2; or 50 ng/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (E. coli 0127 B5; Sigma-Aldrich). After 48 h, 1 × 10^5 hMoDC were washed and incubated for 20 min on ice with anti-CD11c, anti-MHC class I, anti-MHC class II, anti-CD40, anti-CD80, anti-CD83, and anti-CCR7 antibodies. Background fluorescence was measured using control immunoglobulin (Ig) isotype. Cells were washed with PBS and analyzed by flow cytometry using a FACSCalibur, and the data analysis was performed using CellQuest software. hMoDC surface markers were assayed from 10 to 15 donors.

**Binding and internalization of CAV-2 and HAd5.** To analyze the binding of HAd5 and CAV-2 virions, 5 × 10^5 hMoDC were incubated with 10^4 pp/cell of Ad-488 or CAV-Cys for 20 min at 4°C or incubated under the same conditions with an anti-HLA-DR antibody for membrane staining. Background fluorescence was measured using an Ig isotype control Ab. Cells were washed with PBS and analyzed by flow cytometry. To examine endosomal membrane penetration, hMoDC were incubated with 2.5 × 10^3 pp/cell of Ad-488 or CAV-Cys at 4°C to allow virus attachment but not internalization. The cells were then rinsed twice with fresh medium and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)–PBS and labeled with anti-MHC class I or II antibodies to mark the membrane. Internalization was assayed using confocal laser-scanning fluorescence microscopy (CLSM) (Zeiss LSM 510 META).

**Exosomal membrane penetration.** hMoDC (5 × 10^5 cells) were mixed at 4°C in 500 μl of RPMI-2% bovine serum albumin with either 20 μg of purified recombinant glutathione S-transferase (GST) or purified recombinant GST fused to a nuclear localization signal (GST-NLS), and 2.5 × 10^3 pp/cell of AdGFP or CAVGFP. The hMoDC/virus/protein complex was incubated for 30 min to allow virus attachment. The cells were then shifted to 37°C for 1 h. hMoDC were washed once in RPMI-2% bovine serum albumin to remove unbound vector and incubated for another 15 min at 37°C to allow vectors and the recombinant proteins to enter the cells. Following additional washing steps with RPMI and PBS, the hMoDC were fixed in 4% PFA–PBS. Internalized GST was detected using a goat anti-GST Ab and a Cy3-coupled secondary anti-goat Ab. Cells were permeabilized for 15 min with 0.05% saponin and 10% FCS in PBS. The antibodies were added and the incubation continued for 1 h for the primary Ab and 1 h for the secondary antibody. Control cells were kept at 4°C during the assay. Cells were counterstained with Hoechst dye to detect the nucleus and analyzed using CLSM.

**Identification of vesicular compartments.** hMoDC (2.5 × 10^5 cells) in 500 μl of RPMI were incubated with 2.5 × 10^4 pp/cell of AdGFP or CAVGFP on ice for 60 min with gentle agitation. The tubes were then placed in a prewarmed 37°C heating block for 5 or 60 min. The hMoDC were then pelleted by centrifugation, rinsed in ice-cold PBS, and fixed in 250 μl of 4% PFA–PBS for 15 min at room temperature. The cells were pelleted, rinsed in PBS, and permeabilized with 200 μl of 0.05% saponin and 10% FCS in PBS for 15 min. The antibodies were added and the incubation continued for 1 h for the primary and 1 h for the secondary antibody. Cells were analyzed using CLSM. Control cells were analyzed at 4°C throughout the experiment. The results are representative of two individual experiments.

**Cytokine detection.** hMoDC (1 × 10^5 cells/ml) (n = 10) were incubated in 1 ml with 2.5 × 10^4 pp/cell of CAVGFP, AdGFP, HAdSwt, AAV1, AAV2, AdLuc1-CCK, AdArdg, or LPS for 48 or 72 h. Supernatants were collected and analyzed for the presence of TNF-α, IL-12p70, IL-1β, IFN-γ, and IL-10 by standard enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (PharMingen). IFN-α/β detection was performed as previously described using HL116 cells (17). Briefly, serial dilutions of supernatant from treated hMoDC were incubated with HL116 cells (36), which contain a luciferase cDNA under the control of the IFN-α/β-inducible promoter. Luciferase activity was used as an indirect measurement of IFN-α/β levels. Control cells were kept at 4°C.

**hMoDC antigen uptake.** Immature hMoDC (2.5 × 10^5 cells) were incubated with 2.5 × 10^5 pp/cell of CAVGag, AdGagl, AdLuc1-CK, or AdArdg for 48 h. The cells were then incubated at 4°C or 37°C for 20 min with 1 mg/ml FITC-labeled dextran, fixed with 4% PFA–PBS, washed three times with PBS, and immediately analyzed by flow cytometry. Experiments were performed twice in triplicate. Non-specific binding of dextran to hMoDC was controlled by incubation at 4°C (not shown).

**Mixed lymphocyte reaction.** Immature hMoDC from a single donor were incubated with 2.5 × 10^4 pp/cell of CAVGFP, AdGFP, AAV1, AAV2, AdArdg, AdLuc1-CK, or LPS for 48 h. CD4+ T lymphocytes from multiple donors were negatively sorted with the MACS system (Miltenyi Biotec). hMoDC were cocultured with a mix of 1 × 10^5 allogeneic CD4+ T lymphocytes from two donors. The cultures were incubated in 96-U-well trays in RPMI 1640–HEPES–10% FCS–antibiotics for 7 to 9 days at 37°C with 5% CO2. T-cell proliferation was determined by [3H]thymidine (Amersham Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ) incorporation. One μCi/well of [3H]thymidine was added for the last 18 h of culture, and the plates were then stored at −20°C. Incorporated radioactivity in cells was counted using a liquid scintillation counter system (Packard TopCount). Proliferation assays for each condition were performed at least in triplicate and repeated four times.

**SEM of hMoDC.** Immature hMoDC were seeded on 18-mm glass coverslips precoated with poly-l-lysine and then incubated with LPS or with 2.5 × 10^5 pp/cell of AdGFP or CAVGFP. hMoDC were prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis at 48 h postincubation. Cells were pelleted (300 μl × 5 min), washed with PBS, and fixed at room temperature for 1 h using glutaraldehyde (3.3%) in millipore buffered solution (pH 7.2). Fixed samples were dehydrated using a graded ethanol series (30 to 100%), followed by critical-point drying with CO2. Subsequently, the samples were sputter coated with appropriate 10-nm-thick gold film and then examined by SEM (Hitachi 400) using a lens detector with an acceleration voltage of 10 kV at calibrated magnifications.

**RESULTS**

**CAV-2 is internalized but does not transduce hMoDC.** (i) **Transduction of hMoDC.** Ex vivo gene transfer to DC has attracted significant interest due to the potential to stimulate antigen-specific immunity for cancer or infectious diseases therapy (44, 52). Numerous reports have demonstrated that HAd vectors may be the most efficient tool to genetically modify hMoDC ex vivo. We therefore compared CAV-2 vector transduction to that of HAd5 and AAV vectors. Initially, we incubated immature (and LPS-matured [not shown]) hMoDC with CAVGFP or AdGFP. Similar to results from several other groups (for example, see references 61 and 76), we found that AdGFP led to a dose- and time-dependent transduction efficiency (Fig. 1A): the percent GFP-positive cells and the mean fluorescence index (MFI) increased with higher multiplicities of infection. We also found a donor-dependent transduction efficiency ranging from 20 to 90% when using AdGFP. In contrast, when immature (or LPS-matured [not shown]) hMoDC were incubated with CAVGFP, the transduction efficiency was negligible (<2%) in all donors (n = 30) (Fig. 1A), even at 50,000 pp/cell and 72 h postincubation (not shown). Similar to the results from Xin et al. (83), we found poor (<5%) transduction of human DC with 2.5 × 10^5 pp/cell of AAV vectors expressing GFP (not shown).

Due to the lack of (i) an identifiable integrin-interacting motif (e.g., the highly conserved RGD motif in the HAd penton base), (ii) a possible heparan sulfate glycosaminoglycan-interacting motif (14) on the exterior of the CAV-2 virion (71), and (iii) coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor (CAR) expression by MoDC, it was not surprising to find poor hMoDC CAV-2 transduction efficiency. To determine if the penton base RGD motif plays a role in transduction, we incubated hMoDC with AdArdg (67). We found a similar transduction efficiency using AdArdgC GFP compared to AdGFP.
These data suggested that the lack of an RGD motif in the CAV-2 penton base was not responsible for the poor hMoDC transduction efficiency.

To test the role of the fiber knob, we also compared the transduction efficiency of Ad5Luc1 to that of Ad5Luc1-CK, an HAd5 virion harboring the CAV-2 fiber knob. We found that Ad5Luc1-CK transduction led to 10-fold-higher transgene expression compared to an isogenic /H9004 E1/3 HAd5 control (Fig. 1B). These data suggested that the CAV-2 fiber knob was not responsible for the poor hMoDC transduction. Second, these data demonstrated that Ad5Luc1-CK was appreciably more efficient at transducing hMoDC than HAd5 vectors.

(ii) Coinfection and inhibition of endosomal alkalization. In epithelial cells, CAV-2 vector trafficking (from postbinding to infectious particle release) closely resembled that found with HAd5 vectors (10). While CAR-mediated clathrin-dependent endocytosis is the best characterized internalization mechanism, macropinocytosis is a major endocytic pathway in several cell types, including DC. Meier et al. showed that HAd2 virion binding induced macropinocytosis and that HAd2 virion endosomal escape increased macropinosomal leakage (48). Based on the possibility that the CAV-2 block was due to the failure of endosomal or macropinosomal escape, we hypothesized that if CAV-2 and HAd5 vectors were coincubated with hMoDC, we would see CAV-2-mediated gene transfer. hMoDC were therefore coincubated with AdRFP and CAVGFP (Fig. 1C). We found only RFP-positive cells, suggesting that CAV-2 and HAd5 virions were not internalized in the same endocytic vesicles or that there exists an additional block postinternalization.

To further test a possible postinternalization block, we asked if CAV-2 disassembly was sensitive to endosomal acidification. In epithelial cells, efficient HAd5 virion escape depends on endosome acidification. We previously showed that CAV/βgal vector transduction of epithelial cells was inhibited by NH₄Cl, suggesting that CAV-2 endosomal escape is pH dependent (10). In addition, DC and neutrophils do not reduce the phagosome environment but rather increase the pH. In DC, diphenyl iodonium (DPI) prevents the oxidation of endocytic vesicles (62). If HAd5 or CAV-2 virions were sequestered in endocytic vesicles or prevented from dissociating in an oxidizing environment, DPI might increase (in the case of AdGFP) or permit (in the case of CAVGFP) transduction. In this context, we previously found that the CAV-2 virion is more heat (72) stable than HAd5. However, we found that pre- or coincubation with 10 nM DPI with hMoDC had no significant effect on AdRFP or CAVGFP transduction (Fig. 1C).

Combined, these data are consistent with the cotransduction data suggesting that CAV-2 and HAd5 virions were interacting with different internalization pathways in MoDC. However, our data do not exclude the possibility that some internalization pathways are shared. Furthermore, our assays do not distinguish between failure to escape from vesicles (e.g., macropinosome) and the possibility that CAV-2 is unable to dissociate in this environment, or another possible downstream block. Further studies will be needed to determine if the neutral or oxidizing environment does not, for example, activate the CAV-2 protease, which in turn does not initiate virion disassembly.

(iii) Attachment and internalization in hMoDC. Although CAV-2 vectors poorly transduced hMoDC, this does not a priori mean that the virions are not taken up by immature hMoDC. Murine bone marrow-derived DC (mBMDC), for example, are poorly transduced by HAd5 vectors but are readily matured following coincubation (58). In contrast, murine lung DC are readily infected by HAd5 vectors but apparently show no signs of phenotypic or functional maturation (77). To determine if the lack of transduction was due to the lack of attachment, hMoDC were incubated with Ad-488 or

FIG. 1. Transduction efficiency of hMoDC. (A) Immature hMoDC were incubated with AdGFP, AdΔRGDGFP, or CAVGFP. These transduction data are from a typical donor with no noteworthy gene transfer (<1%) detected with CAVGFP. (B) Transduction efficacy of Ad5Luc1-CK versus Ad5Luc1. RLU, relative light units. (C) hMoDC were incubated with (i) 2.5 x 10⁵ pp/cell of AdRFP or CAVGFP with or without DPI or (ii) 2.5 x 10⁵ pp/cell of AdRFP and 2.5 x 10⁵ pp/cell of CAVGFP with or without DPI. No detectable increase of CAVGFP-mediated gene transfer was found in the presence of AdRFP, in the presence of DPI, or in the presence of both. Similar results were found when using vectors carrying the swapped fluorescent transgenes (i.e., AdGFP and CAVDsRed) (not shown).
FIG. 2. Binding and internalization of CAV-2 and HAd5 virions. (A) Vector binding was analyzed by flow cytometry: hMoDC were incubated with Ad-488, CAV-Cy3, or an anti-HLA-DR antibody for membrane staining. Background fluorescence using an isotopic control antibody is in gray. (B to D) hMoDC were incubated with the adenovirus vector at 4°C, and internalization was induced by increasing the temperature to 37°C. Vector internalization was stopped at 5 or 60 min and the cells assayed by immunofluorescence using antiadenovirus, anti-Rab5, and anti-LAMP-2 antibodies. The vectors are in red. The endosomal markers are in green. The third panel of each set shows the merge of the first and second panels. Colocalization is indicated by white arrows in the fourth panel, which corresponds to an enlargement of the white square in the merged panel. (B) hMoDC incubated with CAVGFP; (C) hMoDC incubated with AdGFP; (D) hMoDC incubated with Ad5Luc1-CK. The images show an in-
CAV-Cy3 (10) and attachment quantified by flow cytometry (Fig. 2A). hMoDC incubated with either Ad-488 or CAV-Cy3 showed an increase of fluorescence corresponding to the binding of each virion to the cells. The level of Ad-488 binding to hMoDC appeared to mirror results obtained by Worgall et al. using HAd5 vectors and mBMDC (81). We also found no notable difference in Ad-488 or CAV-Cy3 binding compared to that when each vector was incubated alone with hMoDC (data not shown).

To qualitatively assess the internalization of CAV-2 and HAd5 virions, hMoDC were incubated with either Ad-488 or CAV-Cy3 and internalization was evaluated by CLSM. Ad-488- and CAV-Cy3-associated fluorescence was observed inside the cell, with a distribution characterized by large, bright spots (not shown) suggesting vesicular localization. We also noted that cells generally contained more internalized Ad-488 than CAV-Cy3. To identify these vesicles, we incubated cells with CAVGFP, AdGFP, or Ad5Luc1-CK and assayed for the colocalization of early (Rab5) and late (LAMP-2) endosome vesicle markers. Under our conditions, at 5 min CAVGFP efficiently accumulated in early but not late endosomes (Fig. 2B). At 60 min, CAV-2 could still be found in early endosomes; however, the majority of CAVGFP virions appeared to be associated with LAMP-2-positive vesicles.

In contrast to CAVGFP, we found that AdGFP could be found sporadically in early endosomes at 5 and 60 min (Fig. 2C). At 5 min, we occasionally detected AdGFP in late vesicles. At 60 min AdGFP was more frequently found in late vesicles. Because of the noteworthy transduction efficiency of Ad5Luc1-CK, we also assayed its intracellular location. The only notable colocalization we detected with Ad5Luc1-CK was in Rab5-positive vesicles at 5 min. Together these data suggested that CAV-2 was more efficiently kept in Rab5-positive vesicles and also targeted to late endosomes, while AdGFP and Ad5Luc1-CK were initially associated with Rab5 and probably escaped into the cytoplasm before being targeted to late endosomes.

To address the postinternalization transduction block by another approach, we coinoculated hMoDC with CAVGFP or AdGFP and with GST containing an NLS (GST-NLS). If the vectors escape from the endosomal compartments, the cointernalized GST-NLS would be released into the cytoplasm and then accumulate in the nucleus due to the NLS. Using this approach, we found that, unlike the case for AdGFP, we were unable to detect significant accumulation of GST-NLS in the nuclei of CAVGFP-treated cells (Fig. 2E).

We concluded that, like AdGFP and AAV1 and -2 vectors, immature hMoDC can bind and internalize CAV-2 virions. However, the principle means of internalization is likely to differ from that used by HAd5 virions. In addition, the lack of hMoDC transduction by CAVGFP was due, in part, to poor escape from vesicular compartments.

**Phenotypic maturation of hMoDC.** (i) Induction of MHC class I/II and costimulatory molecules. As mentioned above, transduction or internalization does not per se correlate with vector-induced DC maturation. An advantageous characteristic for long-term clinical gene transfer would be the lack of DC maturation. This could be beneficial in numerous situations where immunosuppression could be reduced or avoided. In contrast, a vector that efficiently matures DC has numerous advantages for vaccination strategies. We therefore incubated immature hMoDC with the three HAd5-based vectors, AAV serotypes 1 and -2 (not shown), or CAVβgal and assayed for a change in the expression of costimulatory and MHC class I and II molecules (Fig. 3).

In 10/12 donors, hMoDC incubated with the HAd-based vectors showed an upregulation of MHC class I/II molecules and costimulatory molecules CD40, CD80 (B7.1), and CD86 (B7.2). In our assays, CD83 (HB15) induction was detected only in 2/12 donors. Similarly, CCR7, a hallmark of DC activation (22, 43), was modestly upregulated with the highest doses of Adβgal in a few donors. Our results are similar to the results obtained by others (16, 61, 70, 76), demonstrating that HAd5-based vectors partially mature hMoDC.

In contrast to the case with Adβgal, hMoDC incubated with all doses of CAVβgal or AAV vectors (not shown) showed no marked upregulation of costimulatory molecules or MHC class I/II molecules in most donors (Fig. 3A). Notably, the donor shown was the highest responder for CAVβgal. Similarly, in contrast to the results of others using murine bone marrow-derived DC (58), we found that HAd5 virions containing a mutation in the penton base RGD motif, i.e., AdΔRGD (or AdΔRGDGFP [not shown]) induced an upregulation of CD40 and CD80 in 4/5 donors. In the donor shown, the increase was similar to that seen for Adβgal. However, the level of CD86 was not markedly modified. These data accentuate the difference between human MoDC and murine BMDC and suggest that integrin interaction plays a more complex role in the maturation of hMoDC than previously noted. Finally, we consistently found that Ad5Luc1-CK induced a higher level of expression of the costimulatory molecules than all the other vectors. These data are also coherent with the transduction efficacy of Ad5Luc1-CK.

Finally, we assayed the level of CD86 (and CD80 [not shown]) induction in AdGFP-transduced hMoDC. We found a tendency of the AdGFP-transduced cells with increasing GFP levels to express increasing levels of CD86 (Fig. 3B). This trend was not as striking with AdΔRGDGFP in spite of greater than 80% of the MoDC being transduced. Similar to the case for AdGFP, approximately 50% of the MoDC incubated with
Ad5Luc1-CK had increased levels of CD86. Further analysis will be needed to determine if these data demonstrate that attachment, internalization, endosomal escape, and transcription of the vector genome all contribute individually to hMoDC maturation or that the cells with the highest GFP levels also had the most vector genome copies per cell.

Together these data demonstrated that CAV-2 poorly induced the expression of costimulatory markers indicative of DC maturation, while Ad5Luc1-CK was the most potent inducer of maturation.

(ii) Induction of cytokine release. There is some divergence concerning the cytokines induced following incubation of hMoDC with HAd5 virions (61, 70, 76, 86). TNF-α, IL-1β, and IFN-γ are proinflammatory cytokines. Type I IFNs are classically considered crucial in the innate antiviral responses and induce variable effects on DC that are based on the antigens and the cytokine environment (34, 35, 79). IL-12 is currently considered a key factor in driving DC to induce a TH1-type response, and its absence induces a TH2 phenotype. IL-10 secretion may prevent a TH1 response while skewing it towards TH0 (15), prevent spontaneous maturation of DC (at least in vitro), and increase its own production (12, 34).

We therefore repeated these assays and compared CAVGFP, AdGFP, AdΔRGD, Ad5Luc1-CK, AAV1 and -2, and HAd5wt. We found no induction of cytokine release from hMoDC after CAVGFP or AAV1 and -2 exposure (Fig. 4). Equally important, we found no detectable increase in cytokine release at 2 or 3 days postincubation with AdGFP. In contrast, Ad5Luc1-CK induced noteworthy secretion of all cytokines tested, which is coherent with the increased transduction efficiency and level of costimulatory molecule expression. Furthermore, Ad5Luc1-CK was the only vector to induce an upregulation of IFN-γ receptor (not shown), suggesting an autocrine-induced hMoDC maturation. Another noteworthy exception was the induction of TNF-α by AdΔRGD, suggesting a possible role of the integrin-interacting motif in the modification of type I IFN (IFN-α and -β) and IFN-γ secretion. More analysis will be needed to understand this effect.

(iii) hMoDC morphological changes. The functional differences between immature and mature DC are also characterized by dramatic changes in morphology. Immature DC are large, flat cells with few and small dorsal ruffles. In contrast, mature DC are small, round, irregularly shaped cells with numerous large dorsal ruffles and a notable increase in the surface area. We therefore incubated hMoDC with CAVGFP or AdGFP and assayed for the qualitative induction of morphological changes. We found that the majority of the hMoDC incubated with CAVGFP (Fig. 5G and H) resembled immature DC (Fig. 5A and B). In contrast, hMoDC incubated with
AdGFP (Fig. 5E and F) resembled LPS-matured DC (Fig. 5C and D). Together, these data are consistent with our results assaying the phenotypical changes induced by HAd5 and CAV-2 vectors. We concluded that CAV-2 vectors poorly induced morphological changes indicative of DC maturation.

**hMoDC functional maturation.**

(i) **hMoDC antigen uptake.** Immature DC efficiently capture antigens mainly through macropinocytosis and mannose receptor-mediated endocytosis, but they lose this function during maturation (19, 20, 64). We qualitatively measured hMoDC antigen uptake by incubating cells with FITC-dextran (Fig. 6). Mock-treated hMoDC took up the dextran, consistent with functional immaturity, whereas LPS-matured hMoDC lost the antigen uptake ability (low MFI) (Fig. 6A). Immature DC incubated with CAVβgal or AAV1 or -2 vectors retained antigen uptake ability (high MFI), whereas incubation with Adβgal, AdΔRGD, and Ad5Luc1-CK induced functional hMoDC maturation (Fig. 6B to D). These results demonstrated that hMoDC incubated with a large dose of CAV-2 virions keep some functional characteristics indicative of immature DC, whereas HAd5-based vectors triggered the maturation of hMoDC.

(ii) **Allogeneic T-cell proliferation.** A semiquantitative functional assay to determine the maturation of hMoDC is their ability to stimulate antigen-independent T-cell proliferation. Modest induction of costimulatory molecules on DC can lead to interaction between DC and T cells and in turn induce allogeneic T cells to proliferate. As described above, we compared the vectors for their ability to induce maturation of hMoDC into functional antigen-presenting cells. Consistent with the results from others (16, 44), hMoDC incubated with AdGFP induced a dose-dependent T-cell proliferation (not shown). Consistent with the interdonor AdGFP transduction efficiency of hMoDC, we found a modest interdonor variation in T-cell proliferation. However, within each MoDC donor the relative levels of T-cell proliferation induced by

![Figure 4: Cytokine release after vector exposure.](image)
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the vectors were reproducible: CAVGFP and AAV1 and -2 vector stimulation led to near-background levels (Fig. 7A), while AdGFP and Ad\(\text{\textregistered}\)RGD induced proliferation of approximately 50% of that of the positive control (Fig. 7B). Consistent with the above phenotypical and functional assays, Ad\(\text{\textregistered}\)Luc1-CK induced the greatest T-cell proliferation in all donors tested.

Together, these data demonstrated that while CAV-2 poorly induced the functional maturation of hMoDC, a hybrid HAd5/CAV-2 vector efficiently transduced and matured hMoDC.

**DISCUSSION**

Understanding viral vector interactions with DC may enable us to understand the response following in vivo gene transfer and improve ex vivo use to induce tumor- or pathogen-specific cellular responses. The initial goal of this study was to examine the direct interactions of CAV-2 vectors with hMoDC. As this study evolved, we also tried to understand how HAd5 vectors induced hMoDC maturation. Using binding and transduction assays, we found that CAV-2 was able to attach to, and be internalized by, immature hMoDC (Fig. 2). However, gene transfer (transduction) could not be detected even at high doses and with prolonged incubation (Fig. 1). The CAV-2 virion postinternalization block appeared to be due to an entry pathway that differed from that of HAd5 virions, which may have sequestered CAV-2 in early and late endosomal vesicles (Fig. 2). Because transduction capacity does not a priori correlate with activation, we assayed for a CAV-2 virion-induced increase in costimulatory marker expression (Fig. 3), cytokine secretion (Fig. 4), and morphological changes indicative of DC maturation (Fig. 5). We then assayed functional features of hMoDC maturation such as heterologous T-cell proliferation (Fig. 7) and hMoDC antigen phagocytosis (Fig. 6). Combined, our data demonstrated that, in contrast to the case for HAd5-based vectors and similar to that for two AAV serotypes, high doses of \(\Delta E1\) CAV-2 vectors poorly induced the phenotypic and functional maturation of hMoDC.
CAV-2 immunogenicity. We previously found that ΔE1 CAV-2 vectors were less immunogenic than ΔE1/E3 HAd5 vectors in the immunologically naive rodent CNS and respiratory tract (38, 74). In the rat CNS, we detected fewer infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ cells at an equivalent number of injected particles (74). We hypothesized that this was due to a combination of factors: the lack of transduction of CNS immune mediator cells (micro- and macroglia) (2), the dispersion of the vector from the site of injection via axoplasmic retrograde transport, and possibly a lower innate immune response due to the lack of an integrin-interacting motif in the CAV-2 virion (10, 71). Following intranasal instillation in mice, CAV-2 vectors also led to a lower level of TNF-α secretion than HAd5 vectors (38). The data presented here are consistent with our previous data and may provide a partial explanation for the reduced adaptive immune response in some tissues: poor DC transduction and maturation lead to a lower adaptive response in naïve hosts.

We also reported that sera from approximately 98% of a random cohort did not contain significant titers of CAV-2-neutralizing Abs (NAbs) (41). However, NAbs are only one obstacle to efficient long-term gene transfer. We predicted (55) that a T_M response against virion proteins, which would be poorly blunted by many immunosuppressive drugs (29), will lead to deleterious side effects in some patients (39). Recently, we assayed CAV-2-induced human T_M proliferation and activation (57). Fewer than half of the cohort harbored proliferating CD4+ T_M8 directed against the CAV-2 virion proteins (versus >85% against HAd5 vectors). Furthermore, the CAV-2 responders had a 10-fold-lower level of T_M activation than the HAd5 vector responders. In these studies, we could not detect CD8+ T_M8 in any donors. The pertinence of the T_M data and the data shown here is the significant role that DC play in the stimulation of T_M8. The “vector-DC-T_M” interaction could be relevant in the numerous situations where viral vector-mediated gene transfer is performed in patients with a significant memory antivirion response.

Receptors and internalization. The lack of transduction and maturation of human DC may make CAV-2 vectors safer and more clinically applicable when long-term transgene expression is needed. Our transduction data are consistent with our current understanding of CAV-2 vector tropism (26, 39, 71, 73), which may be CAR dependent. CAR, a widely expressed cell adhesion molecule, is involved in the initial attachment of many Ads in some cell types (71, 85). Notably, CAR has never been found on any DC subtypes. In some CAR-negative cells the complement receptor CR3 (CD11b/CD18, αMβ2), other integrins, and heparan sulfate glycosaminoglycans (via a KKTK motif in the HAd5 fiber shaft) may function as Adenoviridae receptors (14, 33, 53).

To better understand CAV-2 biology, we included in our assays two HAd-based vectors containing modifications in two of the major external virion proteins involved in internalization. One vector contained a functional deletion in the penton base RGD motif, and the other replaced the CAV-2 knob on the HAd5 fiber. These vectors were chosen because CAV-2 lacks a known integrin-interacting motif (10, 71) and the hybrid HAd5/CAV-2 vector allowed us to assay the role of the knob (26). The transduction data with AdΔRGDGFP suggested that the penton base RGD motif is not involved in the use of integrins and that this is not the primary reason that CAV-2 poorly transduced DC. Like the HAd5 fiber knob, the CAV-2 knob also has high affinity (approximately 1 nM for CAV-2 and approximately 8 nM for HAd5) to CAR (66), uses CAR to transduce cells (71), and does not use CD46 (unpublished data), a receptor for some species B and D HAds (25, 65, 82).

Fiber knob swap experiments (49), as well as studies using non-HAd5 serotypes, demonstrated that Adenoviridae internalization rates and trafficking are variable in epithelial cells (47, 68). Glasgow et al. found that the in vitro tropism of Ads5Luc1-CK poorly mimicked that of CAV-2 or HAd5 vectors and that it conferred a context-specific tropism (26). It is likely that the combination of CAV-2 knob-specific attachment to another cell surface moiety in synergy with the HAd5 virion use of integrins and/or heparan sulfate (14) increased the Ads5Luc1-CK-induced hMoDC transduction and maturation. In light of these data, it is probable that the CAV-2 knob interacts with other cell surface proteins, carbohydrates, or lipids. This is consistent with our assays of cotransduction and disruption of endosomal pH (Fig. 1C and 2D) and colocalization assays (Fig. 2C and F), which suggested that CAV-2 and HAd5 virions were being internalized in separate vesicles.

Finally, the notable interdonor variation in hMoDC transduction efficiency with AdGFP suggested that another receptor could be playing a role. The most conspicuous difference between donor hMoDC is the MHC haplotype. The discordant data suggesting that the MHC class I molecule is a functional Adenoviridae receptor may need further examination (13, 30).

Human versus murine DC. While there are many conserved characteristics between the several DC subtypes and species, it is unlikely that they will all respond identically to different stimuli (11, 69). In addition, some characteristics and conclusions obtained using murine DC have been attributed to human DC. Unfortunately, there is a poor consensus concerning HAd5 vector interaction with hMoDC, possibly due to the readout and confusion and extrapolation between models (murine versus human and bone marrow versus monocyte derived versus plasmacytoid) and the paucity of data concerning the input dose.

Rea et al. showed that HAd vectors induced hMoDC maturation without polarization towards a TH1-inducing subset (61) because of the lack of IL-12 expression. In contrast, Tan et al. reported HAd5 vector-induced hMoDC maturation with IL-12 production (76). Tan et al. also found an increased level of IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α secretion from immature hMoDC at 5 days postinoculation (76), while others found poor cytokine expression, in particular that of TNF-α, IL-12, and IL-10, at 2 to 5 days postinoculation (61, 70, 86). In our hands, HAd5 vector-induced hMoDC maturation appeared to be TNF-α as well as IL-12, IL-10, IL-1β, IFN-γ, or IFN-α/β independent.

In an elegant study, Jooss et al. found that AAV2 vector-transduced cells escape immune surveillance, in part, because AAV2 poorly infects murine DC following intramuscular injection (37). Lack of AAV-mediated transduction of murine DC in this model poorly induced an antitransgene response, whereas HAd5 vector-mediated DC transduction did induce a response (84). However, hMoDC appear to be susceptible to AAV2 transduction ex vivo, although a significant and unexamined donor-dependent variability (from 2 to 55%) was re-
ported (60). Moreover, the mechanism of the mBMDC activation and maturation by HAd5 vectors has also been controversial. Molinier-Frenkel et al. reported that the maturation of mBMDC by HAd5 virion was mediated by the fiber knob (50). Others reported that HAd5 vector-induced mBMDC activation and maturation were due to the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt/TNF-α activation, which was due to the RGD-integrin interaction (58). Hensley et al. also showed that murine DC maturation is dependent on type I IFN signaling via phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (27).

Here we found (i) that the HAd5 penton base RGD motif was not primarily responsible for hMoDC maturation and (ii) that the CAV-2 fiber knob in its native context had no effect on hMoDC maturation but that (iii) the CAV-2 fiber knob on HAd5 virion increased hMoDC maturation. Because our data demonstrated a notable difference between the murine and human models, we incubated mBMDC with CAV-2 and HAd5 vectors and compared TNF-α expression and the induction of CD80 and CD86. In three of four separate assays, we found no marked difference between the maturation induced by either vector; i.e., CAV-2 appeared to induce mBMDC maturation. Again, this is inconsistent with the RGD-dependent maturation of mBMDC. Further analysis will be needed to understand this discrepancy.

Clinical relevance. One can potentially cause more immediate harm via an acute or persistent vector-induced immune response than by the normal progress of most disorders. Although the innate immune response of CAV-2 gene transfer has not been tested exhaustively, Morante-Oría et al. and Hnasko et al. found that CAV-2 vector transduction did not initiate harm via an acute or persistent vector-induced immune response. The cytotoxic TM response was also crotic cellular debris, TMs, fragile diseased tissue and other ubiquitous cross-reacting anti-HAd Ig and complement, necrosis. Cytokine hyperinduction of hMoDC maturation. We believe that the increased binding, endosome escape, and/or transduction of Ad5Luc1-CK led to increased DC maturation. It is also tempting to speculate that the upregulation of the IFN-γ receptor was responsible for the hyperinduction of hMoDC maturation. Our data demonstrate that CAV-2 vectors and a CAV-2/HAd5 hybrid differ notably from the traditional concept of Adenoviridae immunogenicity and may have specific clinical advantages.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Freimuth and A. Lieber for the ARGD vectors, M. Plechaczyn for the AAV vectors, V. Pinet for performing the IL-1β assay, A. Savina for the DPI, and H. Issel for performing the proliferation assay. We acknowledge the technical assistance of Montpellier Rio Imaging, F. Tribioli, and C. Cazeville for the ultrastructure analysis and G. Uze and D. Monneron for help with the IFN-αβ assay. We thank the members of the EKL and IGMM for helpful comments on the manuscript.

This work was supported by the Association Vaincre les Maladies Lysosomales, the Association Française contre les Myopathies, the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer, Vaincre la Mucoviscidose, and the Fondation pour la Recherche Medicale. M.P. and N.S. are AFM/VML fellows, and H.W. and E.J.K. are INSERM fellows.

The French authors have no conflicting financial interests.

REFERENCES


